

A CALL TO OBSERVE BIBLICAL PRINCIPLES ON "MALE AND FEMALE HE CREATED THEM" AND THE DIFFICULTIES OF GAY MARRIAGE TO THE CONTEMPORARY CHURCH

REV. KARBON PHILIP BENJAMIN Ph.D
Department of Christians Religious Studies,
Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria
E-mail: karbon01@yahoo.com

&

CONFIDENCE JAMES DENG
(Masters Student)
Department of Christians Religious Studies,
Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria
E-mail: dengconfidence@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The Bible contains all of God's teachings on people. The principles impact on all human lives. "A Call to Observe the Biblical Principles on "Male and Female he created them" and the Difficulties of gay marriage to the contemporary church" was an article that looked at the social and theological ramifications of gay marriage from a Christian point of view. It emphasized gender complementarity as a fundamental aspect of Christian doctrine and the significance of restating biblical principles that define marriage as a bond between one man and one woman. The study employed a qualitative approach. The results demonstrate that the article promoted pastoral care from the Church that respects individual hardships while firmly establishing its doctrine in the Bible. Furthermore, it promoted the scriptural point of view on marriage. Continuing to be a strong example of God's intended marriage by modeling Christ-centered relationships and encouraging marriage based on biblical principles. Among other things, the researchers recommended that churches should give priority to biblical teachings on gender, marriage, and family dynamics. Church leaders are to ensure that members know fundamental Christian beliefs and promote a united position against same-sex marriage.

Keywords: Biblical Principles, Gender Distinctiveness, Gay Marriage, Difficulties, Solutions, Contemporary Church.

INTRODUCTION

For ages, marriage has been a fundamental component of social order. It has had many different forms in different cultures, but it is frequently characterized by the fundamental values of togetherness, commitment, and family structure. In the past, marriage has represented greater moral and religious ideals in addition to governing familial relations and succession (Coontz 23). The Old and New Testament teachings of Christianity emphasize a complementary relationship between a man and a woman, and marriage has been formed as a sacred bond. For thousands of years, this conventional Christian perspective has largely remained unchanged, supported by biblical verses that expressly support heterosexual partnerships. However, the modern world has seen a dramatic re-evaluation of marriage,

challenged conventional definitions and led to growing acceptance of same-sex relationships in many societies (Smith 12; Westfall 104).

This change is partly the result of shifting society beliefs around equality and individual rights, especially individual rights. Laws allowing same-sex marriage have been established in several nations, supporting the idea that marriage can be defined in ways other than the traditional gender binary. This change in the law is a reflection of larger cultural shifts that value individual liberty and inclusivity over religious or historical dictates. Consequently, the church is under growing pressure to harmonize its traditional doctrine with these contemporary principles. As Christian communities negotiate the difficult issue of staying true to scripture while simultaneously attending to the concerns of persons and allies who seek equal standing within the church community, these conflicts have resulted in internal discussions and divisions (Gerstbauer 201).

The dilemma facing the Christian church is profoundly theological and goes beyond simple doctrinal adherence. It is based on issues regarding the authority of religious traditions, the interpretation of scripture, and the place of the church in the world. Using verses like Genesis 2:24 and Matthew 19:4-6, which support a man and a woman's partnership as a fundamental connection decreed by God, traditionalists contend that the Biblical texts explicitly depict marriage as a heterosexual institution. These texts, according to scholars like Gagnon and Anderson, depict the natural order intended for human flourishing (Gagnon 57; Anderson 98). According to this perspective, changing the church's position on marriage runs the risk of compromising its theological basis and weakening moral principles that have been upheld for thousands of years.

Despite this, some Christian scholars and leaders support a vision of marriage that accepts same-sex couples and call for a more inclusive interpretation of the Bible. These supporters frequently stress that literal interpretations of biblical prohibitions should yield to Jesus' teachings on justice, compassion, and love. They contend that the church needs to change to reflect a society where personal commitment and respect for one another, rather than conventional gender roles, are increasingly defining factors in relationships. According to scholars like Vines, when considered in the context of history and culture, scripture does not forbid loving, committed same-sex partnerships. Instead, they propose that these kinds of relationships can be consistent with Christian values of fidelity and love (Vines 44).

This position, however, remains controversial and is rejected by many denominations that view it as a departure from authentic Biblical interpretation. As a result, there is a widening gap within the church between those who contend that inclusion and adaptation are just as important to Christian identity as those who maintain that conventional marital values are crucial. This division speaks to more general concerns about the role of the church in a contemporary society that frequently values individual liberties over established authority. Given this, discussions concerning identity, authority, and morality have turned to the church's teachings on marriage, underscoring the significant influence that evolving conceptions of marriage have on modern religious societies.

Finding a method to uphold doctrinal integrity while exhibiting the compassion and acceptance that are essential to the Christian faith is a difficulty for many churches, in addition to simply adapting to cultural changes (Miller 67).

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Marriage: Marriage is a socially and legally accepted relationship between two people; it usually involves a man and a woman, though same-sex couples are now included in this definition. It is a legal and personal commitment in which partners share social, financial, and frequently family duties. Although the definition of marriage varies throughout cultures and religions, it usually refers to the rights, companionship, and support that each partner in the partnership has for the other.

Gay Marriage: Gay marriage, sometimes referred to as same-sex marriage, is the legally sanctioned union of two people of the same sex. It gives same-sex couples the same legal rights and obligations as heterosexual couples, including as parental rights, inheritance rights, and tax advantages. The acceptance of gay marriage is a major step toward equality in marriage laws and demonstrates a wider acceptance of rights.

Contemporary Church: refers to contemporary Christian religious organizations, doctrines, and customs. It frequently identifies churches that have responded to the shifting social and cultural contexts by tackling contemporary concerns like environmental stewardship, social justice, and inclusivity. The modern church, which frequently takes a different tack from conventional or historical church activities, aims to be relevant to today's issues while upholding core beliefs.

Biblical Principles: are core principles and precepts drawn from the Bible that give believers moral, ethical, and spiritual direction. Teachings on love, compassion, justice, integrity, and stewardship are all included in these concepts. They provide a basis for Christian conduct and judgment, motivating followers to behave according to principles found in both the Old and New Testaments, which are frequently applied to social, personal, and collective life.

BIBLICAL FOUNDATIONS OF MARRIAGE

The creation stories of Genesis, which lay out the fundamentals of human relationships, are where the Bible first depicts marriage. "So, God created man in his own image... male and female he created them," according to Genesis 1:27, implies that male and female were meant to complement one another. Genesis 2:24 affirms this complementarity, which is frequently seen as the cornerstone of human partnership: "Therefore a man shall leave his father and his mother and hold fast to his wife, and they shall become one flesh." In this context, marriage is portrayed as a union in which two unique individuals come together to create a harmonious totality that represents support for one another and a common goal (Brown 45). According to scholars like Brown, these verses represent a divine ordinance that establishes heterosexuality as the norm in human relationships, in addition to the spiritual and physical union between man and woman.

Scriptures in the New Testament expand on this basis, with Jesus himself restating the Genesis story in Matthew 19:4-6. "Have you not read that he who created them from the beginning made them male and female?" Jesus asks when asked about marriage and divorce. They are

now one flesh instead of two. Therefore, let man not separate what God has together. Jesus endorses the heterosexual "one flesh" notion as the intended design for marriage in this verse, which is frequently quoted in support of conventional marriage (Westfall 104). Biblical historians contend that Jesus' direct allusion to the creation story in Genesis highlights how this idea is consistent across both Testaments, establishing marriage as a timeless institution that is determined by divine will rather than social norm.

The Bible's focus on male-female complementarity, according to the conservative reading of these texts, is intentional and inextricably linked to the larger theology of human identity and relationships. According to theologians like Patrick Miller, gendered distinctions are an integral component of God's creative order, and the Genesis story serves as a theological model (Miller 82). According to Miller's argument, the "one flesh" union—a union that can only be achieved within a heterosexual framework—is a theological reality that symbolizes divine wholeness rather than just being a metaphor for closeness. This perspective holds that deviations from this model represent a breach of biblical principles and has impacted orthodox Christian responses to modern reinterpretations of marriage.

Many academics examine the exegesis of these words to emphasize the idea of marriage as a covenantal connection established by God, going beyond the obvious reading. For example, according to Robert Gagnon, Genesis 1 and 2 not only tell the story of creation but also serve as a theological model for marriage, reflecting God's plan for human flourishing through complementary pairings (Gagnon 57). According to Gagnon, achieving God's objectives for community, procreation, and companionship depends on the male and female in a marriage being in harmony. According to this interpretation, the marriage-related biblical scriptures establish an order that Christians are expected to follow rather than just describing an ideal.

All things considered, the biblical underpinnings of marriage, as articulated in both the Genesis story and the teachings of the New Testament, convey a distinct and unified message: marriage is a heterosexual partnership meant to embody divine values. According to conventional Christian readings, the foundation of the marital concept is still the gender complementarity that Jesus affirms in the New Testament and that Genesis describes. Scholars who saw the Genesis creation story as a historical narrative and a theological statement about the nature of human relationships, providing a basis for understanding marriage as being sanctioned by God, were the primary theological supporters of this conservative stance (Anderson 98).

GAY MARRIAGE

Gay marriage, sometimes referred to as same-sex marriage, is the illegally sanctioned union of two people of the same sex. According to this theory, same-sex couples ought to enjoy the same legal protections and privileges as heterosexual couples, including the capacity to get married, adopt children, and take use of other marriage-related legal advantages. The idea of homosexual marriage, often known as same-sex marriage, signifies a significant change in how society sees equality and partnerships. According to cultural and religious customs, marriage has traditionally been defined as a relationship between one man and one woman.

But the modern homosexual marriage movement picked more steam in the latter half of the 20th century, coinciding with larger rights movements that sought acceptance and acknowledgment. Historic incidents like the Stonewall riots in 1969 sparked lobbying efforts that resulted in the legalization of same-sex unions in a number of nations. An important turning point in this path was the 2015 Supreme Court judgment *Obergefell v. Hodges* in the United States, which upheld the constitutionality of denying same-sex couples the right to marry (Baker 45).

This legal acknowledgment not only signifies a critical advancement in rights but also reflects shifting societal attitudes towards love and commitment, promoting greater visibility and acceptance of diverse sexual orientations (Pew Research Center).

Despite tremendous advancements, discussions over homosexual marriage still spark intense feelings and social tensions. Proponents contend that allowing homosexual marriage is an issue of equality and human rights, highlighting that everyone should be able to wed the person they love, regardless of gender (Vines 32). On the other hand, those who oppose its legalization frequently point to traditional values and religious convictions in support of maintaining marriage as a heterosexual institution (Anderson 78).

By questioning accepted wisdom and promoting an inclusive view of relationships, these conversations also result in a more thorough analysis of marriage as an institution. In addition to drawing attention to the difficulties of social change, the continuing discussion on homosexual marriage encourages contemplation of the core principles of love, commitment, and the freedom of individuals to select their partners (Jenkins 112).

As people started to fight for their rights and visibility in society in the middle of the 20th century, the homosexual marriage movement had its start. Realizing that legalizing same-sex partnerships was crucial to attaining more societal acceptability and equality, activists in the US and Europe started to advocate for marriage equality in the 1970s (Rimmerman 104). When Jack Baker and Michael McConnell sought for a marriage license in Minnesota in 1971, it was the first known same-sex marriage.

Despite being rejected, their application generated legal battles and public debates that would shape the future of the homosexual marriage movement (Tushnet 67). A growing number of governments have recognized same-sex marriages over the decades due to shifting cultural attitudes and increased public support for rights, which has culminated in historic court rulings that have upheld same-sex couples' right to marry.

By arguing that marriage is a basic human right that shouldn't be restricted because of one's sexual orientation, the movement for marriage equality was frequently couched within the framework of civil rights (Friedman 98). Support for same-sex marriage significantly increased across a range of groups as a result of this framing's contribution to the shift in public opinion (Pew Research Center). A key component of the continuous fight for social justice and civil rights is the legalization of gay marriage, which has come to represent more acceptance and equality for all people.

IMPLICATIONS OF GAY MARRIAGE

There are many social issues with the legalization of gay marriage, especially from a Christian one. According to biblical teachings, marriage is a holy union between a single man and a single woman, according to traditional Christian beliefs. This fundamental notion is compromised by the acceptance of same-sex marriage, which also jeopardizes society's moral underpinning. According to many, the normalization of gay marriage leads to a public acceptance of actions that are contrary to Christian teachings and undermines traditional family values (Smith 45). This change has the potential to conflate gender roles and family dynamics, which will ultimately affect how children are raised and how society is organized as a whole.

The ramifications of gay marriage are especially complicated and divisive in African politics. Strong cultural and religious convictions that are frequently codified in legislation oppose same-sex unions in many African countries. Legalizing gay marriage may face strong opposition and backlash from the public and the government in nations where homosexuality is illegal, such as Nigeria and Uganda (Jenkins 74). The opposition to gay marriage is viewed by many Christians in these areas as a defense of their cultural identity and faith. African governments must, however, balance their traditional values with those of other countries due to the push for political and social reform from Western countries that support human rights (Anderson 35).

The church and the Christian religion face serious challenges as a result of the acceptance of gay marriage. As some congregations work to defend traditional biblical beliefs, while others seek to recognize same-sex couples, many denominations are struggling with internal tensions over the topic (Gagnon 102). The difficulty for churches that adhere to biblical orthodoxy is to uphold scriptural principles while compassionately meeting the needs of individuals. Because varying opinions on marriage can affect church unity and mission, this friction may cause congregations to become fragmented (Vines 65).

Furthermore, it is crucial to take into account the implications for the control of infection and disease. Legalizing homosexual marriage may encourage more candid conversations about sexual health, but it also raises questions about whether it encourages actions that are at odds with Christian principles. Increasing acceptance of same-sex partnerships could normalize behaviors that some Christians feel are linked to public health emergencies, such as HIV/AIDS and other STIs (Miller 82). In light of biblical teachings, the Christian community frequently promotes sexual purity and responsible behavior, arguing that support for homosexual marriage runs counter to these ideals and could harm public health initiatives.

Gay marriage has wide-ranging and significant ramifications that pose problems for public health, society values, and traditional Christian beliefs. Christians must argue for the preservation of biblical truths about marriage and the family while holding fast to their beliefs as the conversation around marriage equality changes. As society negotiates the challenges of a shifting moral landscape, the need to defend fundamental principles is greater than ever.

THEOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES ON GAY MARRIAGE

The topic of gay marriage presents a significant theological divide within Christianity, since conservative and liberal theologians regularly argue over how to read the Bible. According to

renowned conservative theologian Robert Gagnon, heterosexual marriage is continuously portrayed as the standard in both the Old and New Testaments, and same-sex relationships are incompatible with God's created order (Gagnon 102).

According to Gagnon, texts such as Leviticus 18:22 and Romans 1:26-27 that appear to prohibit gay behavior actually reflect a theological position rather than shifting societal perceptions. These opinions emphasize a strong commitment to traditional marriage precepts, which conservatives believe to be unalterable and divinely instituted. However, liberal Christian perspectives have gained popularity, particularly among theologians who emphasize the broader concepts of inclusivity, justice, and love.

Christian inclusionist Matthew Vines, for instance, argues that scripture must be interpreted in light of its historical and cultural setting in order to be truly understood. On God and the Gay Christian, Vines argues that the Bible's condemnation of same-sex behavior is rooted on a cultural understanding of relationships that is very different from today's committed, consensual same-sex couples (Vines 65).

Jesus' teachings on mercy and love are frequently cited by liberal theologians, who contend that these universal precepts allow for the acceptance of same-sex marriage as a sign of devoted devotion. This viewpoint questions conventional interpretations and contends that biblical marital teachings ought to change to reflect modern conceptions of human dignity and love.

Because of the ethical implications of these conflicting theological perspectives, Christian societies must make tough decisions about individuals while upholding traditional norms. There is increasing social pressure on conservative churches to recognize rights, such as permitting same-sex partnerships. However, some orthodox theologians argue that when traditional teachings are changed in response to societal changes, the church's commitment to God's purpose and the authority of the Bible is jeopardized.

This tension is particularly apparent in churches where conservative and progressive factions coexist and attempt to influence church doctrine on marriage and inclusivity. Studies indicate that this division may lead to moral conflicts within congregations as some members advocate for inclusivity and individual pastoral care, while others seek to preserve doctrinal purity (Anderson 78).

Liberal theologians contend that the discussion's ethical component extends beyond biblical interpretation to encompass the church's duty to promote justice and compassion. According to these theologians, Jesus' teachings on empathy and compassion demonstrate how biblical mandates to love and serve others are consistent with promoting diversity. This viewpoint encourages a rethinking of marriage that stresses the level of love and dedication in the union rather than traditional gender roles. By portraying gay marriage as an ethical necessity connected to human dignity and justice, liberal theologians want to expand the church's understanding of biblical teachings to encompass all forms of loving relationships (Brownson 134).

Ultimately, the theological controversy surrounding homosexual marriage reveals deep divisions within Christianity and forces churches to reconcile doctrinal purity with compassion. Concerns regarding churches' place in a pluralistic society are growing as partnerships gain social acceptance. Conservative Christians see preserving conventional marriage as being according to the Bible, while progressive Christians see inclusivity as the central message of the gospel. The tension between maintaining traditional interpretations and embracing novel concepts of justice, love, and identity is highlighted by this theological moment (Gushee 145).

HUMAN RIGHTS VIEWS ON GAY MARRIAGE

Since marriage is a fundamental right, everyone should be able to get married, regardless of sexual orientation, according to the human rights argument surrounding gay marriage. Advocates claim that denying same-sex couples the chance to get married is against their equality and dignity, which are cornerstones of international human rights frameworks such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). The UDHR states that everyone has the right to get married and have children, and it implies that same-sex couples have this right as well (United Nations 1948).

In addition to verifying the commitment and affection between partners, legal legalization of homosexual marriage ensures equal access to legal protections and benefits enjoyed by heterosexual couples, such as inheritance rights, healthcare options, and tax benefits (Amnesty International 2019). However, the fight for gay marriage rights often faces significant opposition, particularly in places where cultural and religious beliefs strongly condemn homosexuality. Many countries still prohibit same-sex relationships, depriving persons of their unions' legal legitimacy.

Religious freedom is sometimes invoked as a justification by those opposed to legalizing gay marriage, who argue that it goes against traditional family structures. Activists who argue that the protection of one group's religious views should not come at the expense of another group's fundamental rights emphasize the need for a balance that respects differing beliefs while ensuring equality and justice for everyone (Anderson 35). Even as societies evolve, the acceptance of homosexual marriage remains an essential part of the broader human rights movement, which advocates for tolerance and respect for all individuals.

ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND COSTS OF GAY MARRIAGE

Legalizing gay marriage provides significant economic advantages for society in addition to the happiness that same-sex couples experience personally. One of the most obvious consequences of wedding-related spending is the support of local businesses. Same-sex weddings boost demand for venues, caterers, photographers, and other wedding-related services, which is very beneficial to local economies. According to a Williams Institute study, the legalization of same-sex marriage in the US increased spending on weddings, honeymoons, and related services, resulting in an estimated \$3.8 billion in economic benefits (Badgett et al. 2014).

This influx of economic activity can also create jobs, benefitting various sectors from hospitality to retail.

Legalizing same-sex marriage can also contribute to overall economic stability by fostering an environment of equality and tolerance. People feel safer and more included when they have the same legal rights as their straight counterparts, and this can lead to increased creativity and productivity. According to McKinsey & Company (2020), companies that support rights, such as legalizing homosexual marriage, often witness gains in employee satisfaction and retention, which ultimately improves their bottom line.

More broadly, by utilizing a greater variety of viewpoints and ideas, inclusive policies can draw varied talent, promoting economic growth and creativity. However, there may be costs associated with legalizing homosexual marriage, particularly for certain sectors of the economy or organizations that would face operational or legal challenges. Some companies and religious institutions either pay to comply with nondiscrimination laws or adjust their policies and practices to meet new legal obligations. For example, churches and other religious institutions who oppose homosexual marriage might have to pay for legal defenses against future lawsuits or deal with legal issues (Anderson 35).

Furthermore, some companies can face criticism from stakeholders or consumers who disagree with rights, which could result in a drop in revenue or a damaged brand. Even though homosexual marriage has many economic benefits, including increased spending and increased productivity at work, there are costs and challenges that particular industries may encounter. Since legalization fosters an inclusive society that values diversity and creativity, it eventually benefits the economy as a whole. As a result, legalization typically has a favorable overall economic impact.

CHALLENGES TO THE CONTEMPORARY CHURCH

The modern church faces several obstacles in its efforts to bring firmly held beliefs into line with changing marriage-related social conventions. Across many denominations, there is a conflict between upholding doctrinal integrity and adjusting to changing society. Churches that firmly uphold traditional marriage values are frequently under pressure to accept or accommodate members and same-sex unions. Anderson claims that as society has come to embrace same-sex marriage, religious organizations are under more pressure than ever to change, which has led to concerns about being marginalized and losing their significance in society at large (Anderson 35).

Leaders devoted to traditional teachings face a significant challenge as a result of this pressure, which is exacerbated by secular influences and growing requests from some churchgoers for the church to modify its position on marital equality. Because congregations within the same denomination frequently have different opinions on same-sex marriage, internal divides also represent a serious danger to the unity of church communities. The church body is divided along theological and ideological lines as a result of certain congregations' more inclusive stance and others' staunch adherence to conservative doctrine.

According to Philip Jenkins, these differences are not simply theological but also generational, with older members tending to uphold more traditional norms and younger members frequently being more tolerant of rights (Jenkins 74). The values of inclusion and doctrinal

faithfulness clash in congregations as a result of this generational divide. This split has led to official schisms for some denominations, like the Methodist Church, where some congregations have chosen to split rather than compromise on their fundamental principles (Yoder 59).

For churches that aim to preserve traditional views on marriage, legal and cultural developments make things even more difficult. Many nations have legalized same-sex marriage, which has created additional difficulties for maintaining religious freedom while adhering to non-discrimination rules. For example, in some jurisdictions, churches who forbid same-sex marriages on the basis of theology may lose their tax-exempt status or face legal ramifications. According to Ryan Anderson, churches are under pressure to change their practices or risk being shunned because of a larger cultural shift that increasingly perceives traditional religious ideas as discriminatory (Anderson 82).

Churches are forced by these legal obligations to balance fulfilling society's demands for equality and diversity with navigating difficult issues pertaining to their freedom of religion. The church's role in pastoral care and public discourse is further complicated by cultural pressures to validate people and relationships. Churches must struggle to stay relevant and caring while upholding their theological beliefs as society continues to change in its acceptance of many identities. For instance, some church leaders fear that if they don't promote social tolerance, their membership may suffer, particularly from younger generations who might think the church is out of step with contemporary ideals.

According to Mark Chaves, some congregations are reevaluating their position to prevent social isolation as a result of the rising view that churches that are resistant to change are losing their moral authority and influence in the public realm (Chaves 93). Because of these cultural factors, churches are in a precarious situation where they have to carefully consider how their positions on inclusiveness and marriage will affect society.

All things considered, the modern church's approach to homosexual marriage is a complex one that requires striking a balance between maintaining the integrity of doctrine and the changing social and legal environment. Internal tensions, legal quandaries, and cultural conflicts are frequently the outcome of the attempt to maintain traditional values while remaining relevant in a society that is becoming more inclusive. The future of the church's connection with communities is still up in the air as denominations and individual churches struggle with these challenges, underscoring the necessity of constant discussion and discernment within the faith community (Jenkins 101).

REAFFIRMING BIBLICAL PRINCIPLES ON MARRIAGE

As society embraces a wider range of marriage concepts, the Christian church has a significant responsibility to uphold the core biblical principles. Keeping congregations grounded in biblical values—which, for many Christians, include the belief that God established marriage as a union between a single man and a single woman—requires church leaders. According to John Piper, the Biblical marriage model reflects a covenant that symbolizes Christ's relationship with the church and places an emphasis on gender complementarity, faithfulness, and commitment (Piper 42).

While empathetically addressing the complexities of modern marriage conversations, church leaders should adopt a firm position on marriage, teaching members the theological and symbolic significance of traditional marriage in the Christian worldview. It can be difficult for pastors and church leaders to interact with individuals in a way that reflects both biblical standards and Christ's compassion. By offering guidance and support to those seeking a community, churches can maintain a traditional marriage policy while offering a pastoral care setting.

Wesley Hill emphasizes the need for churches to provide compassionate outreach that respects people's struggles and recognizes their inherent value as God's creation, regardless of ideological differences (Hill 78). Effective strategies that promote open communication while maintaining the integrity of the Bible include teaching pastoral staff empathy and active listening. Programs such as counseling sessions or support groups can help people deal through emotional and spiritual problems within the parameters of their faith. Warren 110.

In today's multicultural world, the church's engagement with cultural views on marriage demands a comprehensive approach that upholds core values while encouraging mutual respect and understanding. According to Tim Keller, churches should actively participate in current discussions around marriage and sexuality in order to promote the Christian worldview and inspire Christians to articulate their beliefs in a humble and compassionate manner (Keller 65).

By hosting community forums, interfaith conversations, or educational seminars, churches can explore Christian marriage principles and foster an atmosphere of understanding despite doctrinal disagreements. The church may negotiate its doctrinal commitments, successfully connect with society at large, and compassionately cater to the needs of its people through these endeavors (Piper 54).

BIBLICAL PRINCIPLES: "A MALE AND FEMALE"

The relationship between a man and a woman is highly valued in the biblical concept of marriage, which highlights certain qualities that foster a happy union. Genesis 1:27 states, "So, God created mankind in his image... male and female he created them," emphasizing the significance of gender complementarity in reflecting God's image. Theologian John Stott claims that this "dual-gendered humanity" is a reflection of God's nature and serves as the foundation for the unity of marriage (Stott 38).

The concept of "one flesh" in Genesis 2:24, which describes a covenantal relationship in which men and women unite to form a comprehensive partnership that fulfills God's design, emphasizes this connection even more (Wright 104). In order to preserve gender complementarity, churches must effectively communicate these biblical concepts in their pastoral actions and teachings.

Engaging church members in Bible study and premarital counseling might help them understand gender roles from a biblical perspective. Stanley Grenz suggests that pastors practice "compassionate truth-telling," which strikes a balance between adherence to the Bible and knowledge of current events (Grenz 56). The use of mentorship programs, wherein older couples guide younger ones, can support traditional roles, create an environment that

encourages open communication about marriage, and give participants a basis in biblical values (Keller 45).

In addressing contemporary marital disputes, the church's mission is to authentically testify to God's purpose. Churches can inspire individuals to consider marriage's more profound spiritual dimensions by modeling Christ-centered relationships founded on mutual respect, unity, and unselfish love. Dietrich Bonhoeffer stresses that the church must legally carry out its traditions (Bonhoeffer 78). Through community events, workshops, and media participation, churches can provide simple, biblically informed marriage counsel that can assist people navigate the difficulties of modern relationships while creating a supportive community grounded in religion and biblical values.

FUTURE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE CHURCH

Churches may see more pressure on marriage, gender roles, and family structures as society's values continue to change—possibly even from a legal and political perspective. Legislation affecting religious freedom, additional cultural changes in attitudes about gender and sexuality, and the possibility of growing division within congregations over these topics are some potential future concerns. In order to successfully tackle these issues, churches may want to think about fortifying their theological pillars and coming up with innovative approaches to politely converse with the general public.

This could entail giving congregation members and leaders the tools they need to carefully negotiate societal shifts while staying true to their convictions. Furthermore, continual theological thought will probably help the church maintain the relevance and scriptural foundation of its teachings.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The biblical teachings on marriage, gender, and family structures ought to be given top priority in churches. Church leaders may guarantee that members are knowledgeable of fundamental Christian beliefs and promote a united position on matters such as same-sex marriage by restating these teachings.
2. The church should preserve its doctrinal integrity while adjusting to shifting cultural situations with the support of regular theological study and reflection. This makes it possible for the Church to deliberately address modern challenges and guarantee that its teachings are still grounded in scripture and applicable to the society.
3. Churches may run across laws that impact religious practices when social norms and legal frameworks change. To safeguard religious freedoms and uphold the right to practice one's faith in conformity with biblical principles, Church leaders must actively interact with legislators and direct their gaze on the eternal truths.
4. The Church should not accept any teachings that do not have biblical foundations, and the government should not impose false doctrines on the citizens and should listen to the Church on matters of faith and morals.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has brought attention to the church's crucial role in preserving biblical values by analyzing the theological, doctrinal, and pastoral concerns surrounding current marriage discussions. It looked at theological viewpoints on gender complementarity,

marriage, and the moral conundrums brought on by varying interpretations within the Christian community. Because upholding a biblical position frequently involves both internal and external problems, many congregations struggle to strike a balance between doctrinal integrity and societal influences. We looked at how the church may compassionately teach biblical values while adhering to core teachings on gender and marriage, highlighting the significance of gender complementarity and the covenantal character of marriage. These conversations highlight how the church's adherence to biblical values continues to serve as an anchor that offers direction and clarity even while the cultural landscape changes quickly.

References

- Amnesty International. "The Human Rights of LGBTQ+ People." Amnesty International, 2019.
- Anderson, Robert. *Biblical Foundations for Marriage: A Theological Perspective*. HarperCollins, 2017.
- Anderson, Ryan T. *Truth Overruled: The Future of Marriage and Religious Freedom*. Regnery Publishing, 2015.
- Badgett, M. V. Lee, et al. "The Economic Impact of Extending Marriage to Same-Sex Couples." Williams Institute, 2014.
- Baker, James. *The Supreme Court and Same-Sex Marriage: A Historical Perspective*. Oxford University Press, 2016.
- Brownson, James V. *Bible, Gender, Sexuality: Reframing the Church's Debate on Same-Sex Relationships*. Eerdmans, 2013.
- Coontz, Stephanie. *Marriage, a History: How Love Conquered Marriage*. Viking, 2005.
- Friedman, H. "The Right to Marry: Legal and Cultural Implications of Same-Sex Marriage." *Journal of Human Rights Law*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2020, pp. 92-110.
- Gerstbauer, Lisa. *The Church and LGBTQ+ Inclusion: Navigating Modern Challenges*. Oxford University Press, 2021.
- Gagnon, Robert. *The Bible and Homosexual Practice: Texts and Historical Contexts*. Abingdon Press, 2001.
- Gushee, David P. *Changing Our Mind: The Landmark Call for Inclusion of LGBTQ Christians in the Church*. Read the Spirit Books, 2017.
- Jenkins, Michael. *Love and Commitment: Evolving Definitions in a Changing Society*. Routledge, 2018.
- McKinsey & Company. "Diversity Wins: How Inclusion Matters." McKinsey & Company, 2020.
- Miller, David. *Faith, Morality, and the Changing Landscape of Marriage*. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- Pew Research Center. "The Global Divide on Homosexuality Persists." 2020, www.pewresearch.org.
- Rimmerman, Craig. *The Lesbian and Gay Movements: A Global Perspective*. Westview Press, 2015.
- Smith, Jane. *The Evolution of Marriage in Contemporary Society*. Routledge, 2016.
- Tushnet, Mark. *The Second Amendment and Same-Sex Marriage: A Legal Analysis*. Yale University Press, 2018.
- United Nations. "Universal Declaration of Human Rights." United Nations, 1948.
- Vines, Matthew. *God and the Gay Christian: A Resource for the Church*. Convergent Books, 2014.
- Vines, Matthew. *God and the Gay Christian: The Biblical Case in Support of Same-Sex Relationships*. Convergent Books, 2014.
- Westfall, Keith. *Marriage in a Changing World: A Theological Exploration*. Baker Academic, 2018.